Customer No.: 31561

Docket No.: 11586-US-PA

Application No.: 10/709,715

REMARKS

Present Status of the Application

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the thorough examination of this application.

However, claims 3, 5 and 7 are objected to in the current Office action. Claims 1-3 are

rejected under 35 U.S.C. Section 103(a) as being assertedly unpatentable over Lee (US

Pub. No. 2005/0083279; hereinafter "Lee") in view of Noguchi (US Pat. No. 7,084,849;

hereinafter "Noguchi"). Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. Section 103(a) as being

assertedly unpatentable over Lee (US Pat. No.6,982,690; hereinafter "Lee '690") in view

of Noguchi.

In response thereto, Applicant has rewritten the claims and submitted the amended

claims as newly-added claims 8-11 to clarify the claimed subject matter.

Correspondingly, claims 1-7 have been canceled. The newly added claims 8-11 are fully

supported by the present specification without adding new matter. After entry of the

foregoing amendments, claims 8-11 remain pending in the present application, and

reconsideration of those claims is respectfully requested.

Discussion of the claim objections

Claims 3, 5 and 7 are objected by the current Office Action.

In response thereto, Applicant has submitted newly-added claims 8-11 to clarify

the claimed subject matter without adding new matter, so that the claim objections as set

forth in current Office action should be rendered moot accordingly.

Customer No.: 31561

Docket No.: 11586-US-PA

Application No.: 10/709,715

Discussion of Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee in view of Noguchi. Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. Section 103(a) as being assertedly unpatentable over Lee '690 in view of Noguchi.

In response thereto, Applicant has rewritten the claims and submitted the amended claims as newly-added claims 8-11 to clarify the claimed subject matter, upon which Applicant hereby otherwise traverses these rejections after entry of the proposed amendments. Specifically, Applicant respectfully submits that the present application as set forth in newly amended claims 8-11 is novel and patentable over Lee, Noguchi, Lee '690, or any of the other cited references, taken alone or in combination, and thus should be allowed.

With respect to the currently amended claims 8 and 11, they respectively recite in all below:

8. A pixel array, comprising:

M*N pixels, each row of the pixels having a plurality of pixel sets, wherein:

the j^{th} and the $(j+1)^{th}$ pixel sets of the i^{th} row of the pixels substantially have different driving polarity, where i and j are positive integers;

the j^{th} and the $(j+1)^{th}$ pixel sets of the $(i+1)^{th}$ row of the pixels substantially have different driving polarity;

the j^{th} pixel set of the i^{th} row of the pixels and the j^{th} pixel set of the $(i+1)^{th}$ row of the pixels substantially have different driving polarity; and

the $(j+1)^{th}$ pixel set of the ith row of the pixels and the $(j+1)^{th}$ pixel set of

Customer No.: 31561 Docket No.: 11586-US-PA

Application No.: 10/709,715

the (i+1)th row of the pixels substantially have different driving polarity;

a plurality of data lines for respectively providing a corresponding pixel voltage,

wherein the polarity of the pixel voltage provided by the kth data line is opposite to

the polarity of the pixel voltage provided by the (k+1)th data line, where k is a

positive integer; and

a plurality of gate lines, wherein the rth gate line is used for turning on all odd

pixels in the jth and the (j+1)th pixel sets of the ith row of the pixels and all even pixels in

the j^{th} and the $(j+1)^{th}$ pixel sets of the $(i+1)^{th}$ row of the pixels, where r is a positive integer.

10. A pixel array, comprising:

M*N pixels, each row of the pixels having a plurality of pixel sets, wherein:

all of the pixel sets in the ith row of the pixels substantially have same

driving polarity, where i is a positive integer;

all of the pixel sets in the (i+1)th row of the pixels substantially have same

driving polarity; and

all of the pixel sets in the ith row of the pixels and all of the pixel sets in the

(i+1)th row of the pixels substantially have different driving polarity;

a plurality of data lines for respectively providing a corresponding pixel voltage,

wherein the polarity of the pixel voltage provided by the kth data line is opposite to

the polarity of the pixel voltage provided by the (k+1)th data line, where k is a positive

integer; and

a plurality of gate lines, wherein the rth gate line is used for turning on all odd

Customer No.: 31561

Docket No.: 11586-US-PA

Application No.: 10/709,715

pixels in each pixel set of the ith row of the pixels and all even pixels in each pixel set of

the $(i+1)^{th}$ row of the pixels, where r is a positive integer.

On the page 2 of the current Office action, the Examiner has asserted that the

subject matter of the instant invention "might be different and distinguishable from the

prior arts of record", but such subject matter is not deemed presented in the claims

adequately. Accordingly, Applicant has rewritten the claims and submitted the amended

claims as newly-added claims 8-11 to clarify the claimed subject matter without adding

new matter.

Applicant respectfully submits that the present invention is directed to a pixel

array using line inversion driving method or 3N*1 inversion driving method for reducing

the cross talk effect on condition that adjacent data lines alternately provide opposite pixel

voltages in mutually reverse polarities (for example, +-+-+- or -+-+-

+-), so as to achieve the purpose that the coupling capacitances of two adjacent data

lines at right and left sides of each pixel are substantially subtracted, and thereby the

aperture ratio of each pixel and the stability of displaying the gray scale picture are

enhanced at the same time.

Please refer to the features of the newly added claim 8 as presented above in bold

print. It is clearly known that the structure of the pixel array and the pixel voltages

provided by two adjacent data lines at right and left sides of each pixel are opposite to

each other, so that when the pixel array conducts the 3N*1 inversion driving method, the

purpose of reducing the cross talk effect is achieved so as to enhance the aperture ratio of

Customer No.: 31561 Docket No.: 11586-US-PA

Application No.: 10/709,715

each pixel and the stability of displaying the gray scale picture at the same time.

Similarly, please refer to the features of the newly added claim 10 as presented

above in bold print. It is clearly known that the structure of the pixel array and the pixel

voltages provided by two adjacent data lines at right and left sides of each pixel are

opposite to each other, so that when the pixel array conducts the line inversion driving

method, the purpose of reducing the cross talk effect is also achieved so as to enhance the

aperture ratio of each pixel and the stability of displaying the gray scale picture at the

same time.

However, according to the teachings of Lee, Noguchi, and Lee '690 cited by the

Examiner, the pixel array taught therein is not able to conduct the 3N*1 inversion driving

method or the line inversion driving method for reducing the cross talk effect on condition

that the adjacent data lines alternately provide opposite pixel voltages in mutually reverse

polarities, i.e., "+-+-+-" or "-+-+-."

Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that the newly added claims 8 and 10

are novel and patentable over Lee, Noguchi, Lee '690, or any other cited references, taken

alone or in combination, and thus should be allowed.

If an independent claim is non-obvious under 35 U.S.C. 103, then any claim

depending therefrom is non-obvious. In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed.

Cir. 1988). As a result, since the newly added claims 9 and 11 are respectively depending

on the allowable independent claims 8 and 10, the newly added claims 9 and 11 also

should be allowed as a matter of law.

Customer No.: 31561 Docket No.: 11586-US-PA

Application No.: 10/709,715

CONCLUSION

For at least the foregoing reasons, it is believed that the pending claims 8-11 are in proper condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes that a telephone conference would expedite the examination of the above-identified patent application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned.

Date:

.P

Jianq Chyun Intellectual Property Office 7th Floor-1, No. 100 Roosevelt Road, Section 2 Taipei, 100 Taiwan

Tel: 011-886-2-2369-2800 Fax: 011-886-2-2369-7233

Email: <u>belinda@jcipgroup.com.tw</u>
<u>Usa@jcipgroup.com.tw</u>

Respectfully submitted,

Belinda Lee

Registration No.: 46,863